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PROJECT OBJECTIVES: Our overall goal is to understand stand-level responses of aspen forests, including growth decline and mortality, to weather fluctuations, particularly drought, using a multi-scale approach that will examine differences in forest responses between regions as well as the importance of site conditions within each region. Specific questions to be addressed include:

- What weather conditions result in growth decline and predispose aspen stands to mortality?
- How severe or long must a drought be to cause mortality?
- Do wet years allow aspen stands to compensate for growth decline and mortality in drought years?
- Is mortality preceded by declining growth, and does mortality lag behind drought events?
- Under what abiotic (soil, aspect, long-term climate) and biotic (age structure) conditions are aspen forests most susceptible to drought?

JUSTIFICATION:

a. Linkage to FHM Detection Monitoring – Aspen mortality has been observed by the Forest Health Monitoring program in both Colorado1 and Minnesota2. Drought has been proposed as a mechanism behind mortality in both regions, often as part of a suite of stressors that exacerbates the effects of pathogens or other drivers of aspen mortality. However, the overall impact of drought on aspen growth and mortality remains unclear because few studies have explicitly examined the stand-level responses of aspen to drought in terms of both mortality and growth declines/recovery.

b. Significance in terms of the geographic scale – The extent of aspen mortality stretches across multiple regions of the United States and Canada, and thus understanding the causes of aspen decline requires examining aspen forests in more than one geographic region. By examining how drought influences aspen forests in two distinct regions, this study will provide insight into the general susceptibility of aspen to weather extremes. Moreover, such a multi-scale approach – examining stand-level responses to drought across various site conditions within a region as well as across regions – will allow predictions of aspen response to drought conditions (expected to increase with climate change3.)

c. Biological impact and/or political importance of the issue – Aspen forests have substantial biological and economic importance in both regions examined in this study. In the central Rocky Mountains, aspen stands create structural and biological diversity and contribute significant value for tourism. In the northern Lake States, aspen forests are a major contributor to the forest products industry. Aspen mortality therefore has critical economic, ecological, and social implications, and aspen forests are closely scrutinized by the news media, forest managers and the general public.

d. Scientific Basis/Feasibility – This project will build upon previous aspen studies to save significant time and expense by utilizing existing, established plots in aspen forests in both Minnesota and Colorado. Leveraging these previous studies will facilitate a unique and important research approach across regions that will provide insight not attainable in other studies of aspen decline or mortality.

e. Priority Issues addressed from Request for Proposals – This study will address three of the priority issues: climate change, drought, and tree mortality. One of the primary predictions for climate change
is that weather extremes will become more frequent\(^3\), reinforcing the need to understanding how
drought conditions influence forest growth and tree mortality.

**DESCRIPTION:**

**a. Background:** Previous studies on aspen decline throughout the southern Rocky Mountains and the Lake
States have suggested a general loss of vigor in aspen stands related to a suite of various ecological factors
\(^4\)-\(^6\). Those studies suggest that many, but not all, aspen stands are experiencing a reduction in tree growth
and vigor. Notably, many stands not previously observed to be “declining” have experienced sudden,
extensive canopy tree mortality over the last decade that corresponds to a relatively dry period \(^5\). Although
factors such as site-pathogen interactions have been speculated as a driver of aspen mortality \(^4\), no studies
have examined its relationship to drought. If drought causes aspen mortality, it likely reduces aspen vigor
and growth, which means that drought may be adversely affecting many more aspen stands than previously
realized and may increase the susceptibility of aspen to insect and disease infestations. However, few
studies have directly examined the relationship between weather fluctuations and aspen growth and vigor.
Understanding the relationship between drought and aspen mortality is especially important because future
climatic conditions are expected to include greater frequency and severity of drought events\(^3\).

Other ongoing studies of aspen mortality in the Rocky Mountains (Worrall et al., INT-EM-07-01
and Allen et al., INT-EM-08-01) are providing valuable insight into causal factors behind sudden aspen
death, but these studies neither directly examine the effects of drought on aspen growth nor do they do so at
multiple scales or across multiple regions. This proposed study will explicitly examine drought effects on
aspen growth in both space (multiple conditions within each region and across multiple regions) and time
(short- and long-term responses of aspen at the stand level). Rather than simply assessing the extent of
current aspen mortality, understanding the long-term, species-related growth and mortality responses of
aspen stands to drought will allow us to predict future aspen mortality in the Rocky Mountains as well as
the Lake States. Such information is critical for proactive rather than reactive forest management.

**b. Methods:** We propose to use field measurements of long-term tree growth to characterize the
relationship between weather fluctuations and aspen growth and mortality across a range of tree ages and
site-quality conditions in Colorado and Minnesota. We are quantifying annual, stand-level growth in aspen
stands that represent the range of site conditions and tree ages. In Colorado, site condition is a function of
elevation and aspect, and we are examining stands from prior work that capture this variability in elevation
and aspect\(^6\). In Minnesota, site condition is primarily a function of soil quality and we are examining aspen
stands (already utilized in other studies) on low- and high-productivity soils\(^7\).

In each region (Southern Rockies and Lake States), we will examine approximately 20 stands,
selected to encompass a range of tree ages. Within each stand, we will collect increment cores for all living
and dead trees on 3 circular plots. Cores will be cross-dated and analyzed to quantify the annual ring
widths for individual trees, which will be combined with allometric equations to estimate biomass and
annual tree growth. We will integrate these individual tree growth measures with plot-level data from the
entire stand to quantify stand-level growth for each year over the past \(\sim\)40 years. Cross-dated cores from
dead trees will identify the year of mortality and we will compile a record of annual and seasonal weather
fluctuations over the same time period. We will relate weather conditions to stand-level growth and
mortality. 100% of this work will be completed on National Forest land (sites already established).

**c. Products:** We anticipate two peer-reviewed publications from this work, one focusing on how weather
fluctuations impact tree growth and one focusing on the impact of growth declines for mortality and
regional-scale implications. In addition, we will produce and publish a technical report, aimed at forest
managers and policy makers, that presents the practical implications and management application.

**d. Schedule of Activities:**

- Summer 2009: Relocate all plots and sample in Minnesota (completed)
- Fall-Winter 2009-10: Analyze data and draft results from Minnesota (in progress)
- Summer 2010: Sample plots in Colorado (add mortality plots if funded for third year)
- Fall-Winter 2010-11: Data analyses and syntheses
- Summer and Fall 2011: Manuscript writing and submission.

e. Progress/Accomplishments: We have established plots and collected basic stand structure information in all stands. In summer 2009, we collected increment cores from all trees in 20 aspen stands along an age chronosequence in Minnesota. All cores collected in 2009 have been mounted and prepared for analysis, and we are in the process of measuring individual tree ring widths and scaling the results up to the stand. In addition, in response to comments at the FHM cooperator’s meeting in February 2009, we added 10 stands in Northern Minnesota (identified from data provided by MN DNR) that have experienced recent, unexplained, partial mortality. Inclusion of these stands that are experiencing partial mortality will allow us to expand our project to explicitly address relationships between past weather conditions and mortality at the individual tree and stand scale.

COSTS: For each year, field sampling, core preparation/analysis, and statistical analysis requires a full time research technician for 9 months ($29,651). In years 1 and 2, a field technician will assist with measurements (~ $6,390). Travel and vehicle expenses are ~ $6000. Contributed costs include one month of salary and fringe for each investigator, $2000 in travel expenses, equipment (tree ring lab at Wayne State University) and field supplies. USFS overhead is 8% ($3,363). Inflationary increases are 3.5% /yr.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Requested FHM EM Funding</th>
<th>Other-Source Funding</th>
<th>Requested FHM EM Funding</th>
<th>Other-Source Funding</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Year</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salary</td>
<td>$36,041</td>
<td>$17,829</td>
<td>$37,302</td>
<td>$18,453</td>
<td>USFS/WSU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overhead</td>
<td>$3,363</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$3,481</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel</td>
<td>$6,000</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
<td>$6,210</td>
<td>$2,070</td>
<td>USFS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procurements</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contracting</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$3,350</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$3,467</td>
<td>WSU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supplies</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$466</td>
<td>WSU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$45,404</td>
<td>$23,579</td>
<td>$46,993</td>
<td>$24,404</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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