Title: Aspen Mortality in the Rocky Mountain Region: Extent, Severity, and Causal Factors, INT-EM-08-01


DURATION: Year 1 of a 3-year project  

FUNDING SOURCE: Base EM

PROJECT LEADERS: Kurt K. Allen (605-716-2781, kallen@fs.fed.us); James T. Blodgett (605-716-2783, jblodgett@fs.fed.us); Kelly Burns (303-236-8006, ksburns@fs.fed.us); all USDA-Forest Service, Region 2, Forest Health Management.

COOPERATORS: Bill Jacobi, Colorado State University; Jose Negron, RMRS; Wayne Shepperd, Retired-RMRS; Jim Worrall, Dan Long, Brian Howell, and Jennifer Ross, R2-FHM; Blaine Cook, Black Hills NF; Chris Thomas, Bighorn NF; Randy Spiering, Shoshone NF.

PROJECT OBJECTIVES: To assess stand conditions and causal agents involved in the aspen mortality observed in R2 using ground surveys and spatial analysis of Aerial Detection Survey (ADS) data. The specific objectives are to:
• evaluate tree, sapling, and seedling health;
• quantify frequencies of causal agents;
• generate hazard maps and descriptions of the spatial distribution (extent and severity) of aspen mortality in the region;
• analyze hazard maps in relation to R2-ADS results, and climate and other data (including drought).

JUSTIFICATION:
Linkage to FHM-DM: Increasing levels of aspen decline and mortality have been reported from ADS, FHM, forest managers, and the public over the past years throughout R2. Aspen decline is listed as one of the major concerns in the Region 2 Insect and Disease Conditions Report (Harris et al. 2007). The 2006 ADS of R2 indicated that approximately 170,000 acres have dead or severely damaged aspen. Preliminary results of a recent EM survey in SW Colorado suggest that rapid mortality can be associated with a lack of aspen regeneration (Worrall, INT-EM-07-01; Worrall et al. in press). Currently, it is unclear how widespread this phenomenon is. This project will build on the work of Worrall and others (in press) to obtain a region-wide perspective on the extent, severity, and causes of aspen mortality in R2.

Significance in terms of geographic scale: Concentrated patches of aspen decline and mortality have been reported from ADS and other surveys in most
National Forests in R2 and nearly throughout the range of aspen in Colorado. A random survey of 30 aspen stands in Wyoming found 83% of the stands have significant mortality or major damages (Harris et al. 2007). A similar phenomenon is occurring in R3 and R1/4 where EM projects are in place (Fairweather: INT-EM-03-02, Guyon: INT-F-06-01). Having a region-wide survey in R2 will complement these studies and provide a west-wide perspective of aspen health.

**Biological impact/political importance:** Aspen forests are a valuable resource in R2. Rapid decline and mortality of aspen will affect diversity, wildlife habitat, watersheds, aesthetics, and possibly local economies. Aspen issues have received abundant media attention, and the public and forest managers are anxious for answers.

**Feasibility:** The cooperative nature of the project, extensive experience of the team, and the good working relationships among the forests and parties involved will insure successful project completion.

**DESCRIPTION:**

**a. Background:** Aspen is widely distributed in R2. Recent reports have indicated extensive mortality is occurring throughout the region and in several other western regions (Rogers 2002; Worrall, *in press*). For example, the 2005 R2-ADS found less than 25,000 impacted acres. However, by 2006 approximately 170,000 acres were impacted. Field surveys in SW Colorado reveal that severely impacted stands may not be regenerating. Unfortunately, information obtained from aerial surveys can not tell us the extent and severity of decline and mortality, the condition of regeneration, or specific causal factors. USFS districts, local landowners, and politicians are requesting information about causes, management recommendations, and what the future holds for aspen. An intensive study of aspen decline and mortality is currently being conducted in SW Colorado (Worrall: INT-EM-07-01), but information is lacking in other parts of the region. The purpose of this study is to provide a regional assessment of aspen conditions to answer the important questions being asked. Knowing the distribution, severity, and frequencies of causal agents are required before appropriate disease management recommendations can be made.

**b. Methods:** A series of plots will be established systematically (via a sampling grid) within aspen stands throughout the study areas using GIS, at a spacing of 2 miles or more between plots. Stands will be selected based on ownership, aspen vegetation type, and accessibility to the forest as determined by GIS data. Three circular plots will be established in each stand (1/50 acre for trees and 1/500 acre for seedlings and saplings). At each plot center, site information such as UTM coordinates, elevation, date, stand age, slope position, slope, and aspect will be recorded. Variables recorded for each tree will include tree
species; tree diameter; host condition (living or dead); crown position; crown health; and associated stress/mortality agents (diseases, insects, fire, windthrow, wind breakage, lightning, and others). Results will be summarized in both tabular and spatial distribution maps (hazard maps) showing the extent and severity of aspen mortality and causal agents in the region. These maps will be analyzed in relation to R2-ADS results, and climate and other data (including drought).

c. Products:
- Posters and/or presentations at the Forest Health Monitoring Working Group Meeting, Western International Forest Disease Work Conference, Western Forest Insect Work Conference, Great Plains Tree Pest Council, and other appropriate meetings.
- Results will be used to respond to inquiries from forest managers, land owners, politicians, and other interested parties on aspen conditions in R2.
- Results will be prepared for publication in a refereed journal.
- Publications will be distributed to all federal lands involved and to the CO, SD, and WY State Foresters, land managers and others in areas of forest stewardship, as well as others interested in the results.

d. Schedule of Activities:
- Summer 2008: Field survey in SD and WY.
- Summer 2008-Spring 2009: Data analysis including landscape GIS based research.
- Summer 2009: Complete SD and WY field survey. Begin field survey of CO.
- Fall 2009-Spring 2010: Data analysis including landscape GIS based research.
- Summer 2010: Complete CO field survey.
- Fall-Winter 2010: Final data analysis and report writing.

e. Progress/Accomplishments: We have completed 15 plots in the Black Hills NF, so the methods have been tested. Proposed sample locations were selected using forest cover type maps.

COSTS:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Requested FHM EM Funding</th>
<th>Other-Source Funding</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>YEAR 2008</strong>a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administration</td>
<td>Salary $33,000b</td>
<td>$30,000/$16,000</td>
<td>FHM/CSU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Overhead $2,500c</td>
<td>$1,500/$4,600</td>
<td>FHM/CSU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Travel $14,000b</td>
<td>$9,000</td>
<td>FHM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procurements</td>
<td>Contracting $0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Equipment</td>
<td>Supplies</td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$1,500</td>
<td>$500</td>
<td>$51,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$3,000</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>$65,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FHM</td>
<td>FHM</td>
<td>FHM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( ^a \) A similar budget is expected in 2009 and 2010.
\( ^b \) Most of the requested funds will be used to support 2 summer temporaries at GS-5 and their travel, and a graduate student salary and travel.
\( ^c \) For CSU overhead.